



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/720,777

11/24/2003

John A. McMorris III

35539

4925

39313 7590 02/28/2008

CARL M. NAPOLITANO, PH.D.  
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A.  
255 SOUTH ORANGE AVE., SUITE 1401  
P.O. BOX 3791  
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791

EXAMINER

RIVIERE, HEIDI M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/28/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/720,777	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCMORRIS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HEIDI RIVIERE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HEIDI RIVIERE.

(3) Carl Napolitano Jr..

(2) John Weiss.

(4) John McMorris, George Bolton.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Response to Office Action of Nov. 16, 2007; Declaration of John McMorris, IDS.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,32 and 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sandor, Schomer and Soestbergen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented a historical perspective of the invention detailing a filing timeline for the Sandor reference in comparison to the present invention; IDS findings; and details regarding Sandor provisional application 60/397,401 and the potential difference with the Sandor publication referenced in the non-final rejection as applied to Application 10/720,777 were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/H. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 3629

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required